

Figures

	Page
ES-1	Proposed ICTF Components 6
ES-2	Tier II Screening Results 12
1.1-1	Regional Map..... 1-3
1.6-1	Study Area 1-24
1.7-1	Proposed ICTF Components 1-25
1.7-2	Proposed Project – ICTF Conceptual Design 1-27
1.7-3	Proposed Project – Drayage Road Improvements 1-30
1.7-4	Cosgrove Avenue to McMillan Avenue Intersection Improvements 1-32
1.7-5	Proposed Project – North Hobson Avenue/Viaduct Road/Bainbridge Avenue Intersection Improvements..... 1-33
1.7-6	Proposed Project – Northern Rail Connection 1-34
1.7-7	Proposed Project – Southern Rail Connection 1-35
2.3-1	Tier I Screening Results 2-11
2.3-2	Tier II Screening Results 2-19
2.4-1	Alternative 2: Proposed Project Site (South via Milford / North via S-line)..... 2-24
2.4-2	Alternative 3: Proposed Project Site (South via Kingsworth / North via Hospital District) 2-26
2.4-3	Alternative 4: Proposed Project Site (South via Milford) 2-27
2.4-4	Alternative 5: River Center Project Site (South via Milford / North via Hospital District) 2-28
2.4-5	Alternative 6: River Center Project Site (South via Kingsworth / North via Hospital District) 2-31
2.4-6	Alternative 7: River Center Project Site (South via Milford) 2-32
3.2-1	Hydrology Study Area and Contributing Watersheds 3-6
3.2-2	Floodplains 3-11
3.3-1	STORET, USGS, and SCECAP Station Locations..... 3-15
3.3-2	Active NPDES Point Source Discharges 3-23
3.3-3	Groundwater Wells 3-27
3.4-1	Vegetation and Wildlife Study Area 3-36
3.4-2	Land Cover 3-37
3.5-1	U.S. Geological Service’s HUCs 3-40
3.5-2	Location of Waters of the U.S. 3-42
3.6-1	Protected Species Study Area 3-44
3.6-2	Critical Habitat Designations 3-51
3.6-3	Element Occurrences of Protected Species and Sturgeon Receiver 3-56
3.6-4	Wood Stork Rookeries and Bald Eagle Element Occurrences 3-63
3.7-1	Essential Fish Habitat 3-84
3.7-2	Oyster Reef and Shell Locations – Northern Study Area 3-86
3.7-3	Oyster Reef and Shell Locations – Southern Study Area 3-87

Figures, cont'd.

	Page
3.8-1	Transportation Study Area 3-95
3.8-2	Existing Intersection Operations 3-103
3.8-3	Existing Railways..... 3-111
3.9-1	Existing Land Use..... 3-117
3.9-2	City of North Charleston Existing Zoning..... 3-119
3.9-3	City of Charleston Existing Zoning 3-120
3.10-1	Cultural Resources Study Area 3-130
3.10-2	Locations of Previous Investigations 3-134
3.11-1	Selected Viewpoints 3-158
3.12-1	Typical A-weighted Sound Levels of Common Sounds..... 3-164
3.12-2	Typical Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration..... 3-167
3.12-3	Noise Monitoring Locations 3-168
3.12-4	Train Horn Noise..... 3-171
3.12-5	Quiet Zones 3-173
3.12-6	Train Pass By Noise and Vibration Measurement Locations..... 3-174
3.13-1	Air Quality Regional Study Area 3-176
3.15-1	Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste Study Area 3-188
3.15-2	Parcels Investigated through Phase 1 ESAs – Proposed Project Site 3-190
3.15-3	Land Use Controls, Areas of Concern, and Monitoring Wells (Navy Base ICTF) 3-191
3.15-4	Southern Alternatives Area 3-196
3.15-5	Parcels Investigated through Phase 1 ESAs – River Center Site..... 3-198
3.15-6	RCRA Land Use Controls CNC 3-199
3.15-7	Properties Subject to Palmetto Railways Voluntary Cleanup Contracts..... 3-200
3.16-1	Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice Study Area, Demographic Study Area, and Notable Populations..... 3-208
3.16-2	Neighborhoods..... 3-232
3.16-3a	Socioeconomic Resources 3-236
3.16-3b	Socioeconomic Resources 3-237
3.17-1	Leading causes of death in Charleston County and the Low County Region 3-249
3.18-1	Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Resources..... 3-257
4.2-1	Floodplains: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project) 4-13
4.2-2	Floodplains: Alternative 2 4-14
4.2-3	Floodplains: Alternative 3 4-15
4.2-4	Floodplains: Alternative 4 4-17
4.2-5	Floodplains: Alternative 5 4-18
4.2-6	Floodplains: Alternative 6 4-19
4.2-7	Floodplains: Alternative 7 4-23
4.3-1	Locations of Dry Detention Sites and Pollutant Modeling Assessment Area – Alternative 1 (Proposed Project)..... 4-37

Figures, cont'd.

	Page
4.3-2	Locations of Dry Detention Sites and Pollutant Modeling Assessment Area – River Center ICTF 4-42
4.4-1	Land Cover: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project) 4-54
4.4-2	Land Cover: Alternative 2 4-59
4.4-3	Land Cover: Alternative 3 4-61
4.4-4	Land Cover: Alternative 4 4-63
4.4-5	Land Cover: Alternative 5 4-66
4.4-6	Land Cover: Alternative 6 4-68
4.4-7	Land Cover: Alternative 7 4-70
4.5-1	Waters of the U.S. Impacts: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project) 4-78
4.5-2	Waters of the U.S. Impacts: Alternative 2 4-79
4.5-3	Waters of the U.S. Impacts: Alternative 3 4-82
4.5-4	Waters of the U.S. Impacts: Alternative 4 4-83
4.5-5	Waters of the U.S. Impacts: Alternative 5 4-86
4.5-6	Waters of the U.S. Impacts: Alternative 6 4-88
4.5-7	Waters of the U.S. Impacts: Alternative 7 4-90
4.6-1	Drayage Road Bridges 4-103
4.7-1	Essential Fish Habitat Impacts: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project) 4-116
4.7-2	Essential Fish Habitat Impacts: Alternative 2 4-118
4.7-3	Essential Fish Habitat Impacts: Alternative 3 4-119
4.7-4	Essential Fish Habitat Impacts: Alternative 4 4-121
4.7-5	Essential Fish Habitat Impacts: Alternative 5 4-122
4.7-6	Essential Fish Habitat Impacts: Alternative 6 4-123
4.7-7	Essential Fish Habitat Impacts: Alternative 7 4-125
4.8-1	North Charleston Truck Restrictions 4-191
4.8-2	Intersection Operations 2018: No-Action Alternative 4-192
4.8-3	Intersection Operations 2038: No-Action Alternative 4-193
4.8-4	At-Grade Rail Crossings: No-Action Alternative 4-194
4.8-5	Intersection Operations 2018: Alternatives 1, 3, and 4 4-195
4.8-6	Intersection Operations 2038: Alternatives 1, 3, and 4 4-196
4.8-7	At-Grade Rail Crossings: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project) 4-197
4.8-8	Intersection Operations 2018: Alternative 2 4-198
4.8-9	Intersection Operations 2038: Alternative 2 4-199
4.8-10	At-Grade Rail Crossings: Alternative 2 4-200
4.8-11	At-Grade Rail Crossings: Alternative 3 4-201
4.8-12	At-Grade Rail Crossings: Alternative 4 4-202
4.8-13	Intersection Operations 2018: Alternatives 5, 6, and 7 4-203
4.8-14	Intersection Operations 2038: Alternatives 5, 6, and 7 4-204

Figures, cont'd.

	Page
4.8-15	At-Grade Rail Crossings: Alternative 5 4-205
4.8-16	At-Grade Rail Crossings: Alternative 6 4-206
4.8-17	At-Grade Rail Crossings: Alternative 7 4-207
4.9-1	Land Use Impacts: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project) 4-212
4.9-2	Land Use Impacts: Alternative 2..... 4-218
4.9-3	Land Use Impacts: Alternative 3..... 4-220
4.9-4	Land Use Impacts: Alternative 4..... 4-222
4.9-5	Land Use Impacts, Alternative 5: Proposed Project..... 4-224
4.9-6	Land Use Impacts, Alternative 6: Proposed Project..... 4-226
4.9-7	Land Use Impacts, Alternative 7: Proposed Project..... 4-228
4.10-1	Historic Properties: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project)..... 4-235
4.10-2	Historic Properties: Alternative 2..... 4-239
4.10-3	Historic Properties: Alternative 3..... 4-241
4.10-4	Historic Properties: Alternative 4..... 4-243
4.10-5	Historic Properties: Alternative 5..... 4-245
4.10-6	Historic Properties: Alternative 6..... 4-248
4.10-7	Historic Properties: Alternative 7..... 4-250
4.12-1	Noise Prediction Locations..... 4-281
4.12-2	Rail Segments for Noise Analysis..... 4-284
4.12-3	Adjusted Reference Curve for Vibration Levels of Locomotive-Powered Freight 4-286
4.12-4	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from Dorchester Road to Misroon Street: No-Action Alternative..... 4-295
4.12-5	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from North of Dorchester Road to Misroon Street: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project) 4-300
4.12-6	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from Hackemann Avenue to Discher Street: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project)..... 4-301
4.12-7	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from Avenue B to ICTF: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project)..... 4-302
4.12-8	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from O’Hear Avenue to ICTF: Alternative 2..... 4-311
4.12-9	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from South of O’Hear Avenue to ICTF: Alternative 2..... 4-312
4.12-10	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from Meeting Street to Spruill Avenue: Alternative 3 4-315
4.12-11	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from Dorchester Road to Misroon Street: Alternative 4 4-318
4.12-12	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from Hackemann Avenue to Discher Street: Alternative 4 4-319
4.12-13	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from Pittsburg Avenue to ICTF: Alternative 5 4-322
4.12-14	Rail Noise Contour – Rail Segment from Pittsburg Avenue to ICTF: Alternative 7 4-330

Figures, cont'd.

	Page
4.12-15	Proposed Noise Mitigation Measures 4-331
4.13-1	Dispersion Modeling Receptors: Alternatives 1–4 4-341
4.13-2	Dispersion Modeling Receptors: Alternatives 5–7 4-342
4.13-3	Cancer Risk: No-Action Alternative 4-355
4.13-4	Putting Risks in Perspective 4-357
4.13-5	Cancer Risk: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project) 4-363
4.13-6	Cancer Risk: Alternative 2 4-368
4.13-7	Cancer Risk: Alternative 3 4-373
4.13-8	Cancer Risk: Alternative 4 4-377
4.13-9	Cancer Risk: Alternative 5 4-384
4.13-10	Cancer Risk: Alternative 6 4-389
4.13-11	Cancer Risk: Alternative 7 4-393
4.16-1	Notable Feature Key 4-470
4.16-2	Notable Features: Alternative 1 (Proposed Project) 4-471
4.16-3	Notable Features: Alternative 2 4-472
4.16-4	Notable Features: Alternative 3 4-473
4.16-5	Notable Features: Alternative 4 4-474
4.16-6	Notable Features: Alternative 5 4-475
4.16-7	Notable Features: Alternative 6 4-476
4.16-8	Notable Features: Alternative 7 4-477
4.18-1	Northern Rail Connection 4-527
5.3-1	Cumulative Impact Analysis: Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions 5-4
5.6-1	Cooper River Watershed 5-13